VARYING THE MONETARY INCENTIVE IN MAIL SURVEYS:(1) DOES IT CHANGE SURVEY RESULTS?(2) CAN IT CREATE SAMPLE IMPROVEMENT OPPORTUNITIES?

Walter McCullough, Mendelsohn Media Research

THE MONETARY INCENTIVE TEST

- A two-cell live test compared the use of a \$5 cash incentive to a \$10 cash incentive.
- The test was conducted as part of the Year 2000 Mendelsohn Affluent Survey where 33,600 households received a packet with a new \$5 bill and 8,400 households received a packet with a new \$10 bill.
- All details of the two cells were identical except for the incentive.

DEMOGRAPHIC COMPARISONS BETWEEN RECIPIENTS OF \$5 AND \$10 INCENTIVES

- Gender
- Age
- Marital Status
- Household Income
- Household Asset Value
- Education

- Employment Status
- Occupation
- Region
- # of Adults In Household
- # of Children in Household
- Household Composition

Age/Gender Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

	Ince		
Base: Total Respondents	\$5 (9,748) %	\$10 (2,805) %	Diff. %
Men	72	72	± 0
18 to 39 years	11	12	+ 1
40 to 49 years	26	27	+ 1
50 to 64 years	29	28	- 1
65 years or older	6	6	± 0
Median age	49.8	49.3	-0.5
Women	28	28	± 0
18 to 39 years	7	7	± 0
40 to 49 years	11	12	+ 1
50 to 64 years	9	8	- 1
65 years or older	2	1	- 1
Median age	46.5	46.1	-0.4

Marital Status Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

Incentive				
Base: Total Respondents	\$5 (9,748) %	\$10 (2,805) %	Diff. %	
Marital Status				
Single	5	4	- 1	
Married	89	90	+ 1	sig.
Divorced,widowed, separated	7	6	- 1	

Household Income Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

	In		
Base: Total Respondents	\$5 (9,748) %	\$10 (2,805) %	Diff. %
Household Income			
\$75,000 to \$99,999	33	33	± 0
\$100,000 to \$124,999	9 25	25	± 0
\$125,000 to \$149,999	9 13	13	± 0
\$150,000 to \$199,999	9 13	13	± 0
\$200,000 to \$249,999	9 5	5	± 0
\$250,000 or more	11	11	± 0
Median (\$000)	116.6	116.9	+0.3

Total Household Asset Value Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

	Incer		
Base: Total Respondents	\$5 (9,748) %	\$10 (2,805) %	Diff. %
Total Household Asse	et Value		
Under \$500,000	21	21	± 0
\$500,000 to \$999,99	99 38	38	± 0
\$1,000,000 or more	42	41	- 1

Education Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

	In		
	\$5 ,748) %	\$10 (2,805) %	Diff. %
Education			
High school graduate or less	10	11	+ 1
Some college	22	22	± 0
College degree	32	33	+ 1
Postgraduate study or degree	36	35	- 1

	Incer		
	\$5	\$10	
Base: Total Respondents	(9,748)	(2,805)	Diff.
	%	%	%
Employment Status			
Total employed	85	86	+ 1
Employed full-time	80	80	± 0
Employed part-time	6	6	± 0
Not currently employed	1 5	6	+ 1
Retired	9	8	- 1

Occupational Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

	Incer		
Base: Total Respondents	\$5 (9,748) %	\$10 (2,805) %	Diff. %
Occupation			
Professional/manageria	al 62	62	± 0
Executive,manageri administrative Professional	al, 33 30	32 31	- 1 + 1

Regional Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

	Incer		
Base: Total Respondents	\$5 (9,748) %	\$10 (2,805) %	Diff. %
Region			
Northeast	21	20	- 1
Midwest	25	26	+ 1
South	31	30	- 1
West	24	23	- 1

Household Size Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

	Incer	ntive		
Base: Total Respondents	\$5 (9,748) %	\$10 (2,805) %	Diff. %	
# of Adults in Housel	nold			
One	4	4	± 0	
Two	69	68	- 1	
Three or more	27	29	+ 2	sig.
# of Children in Hous	sehold			
One	18	18	± 0	
Two	20	21	+ 1	
Three or more	10	10	± 0	

Household Composition Differences Between Recipients of \$5 and \$10 Incentives

Incentive				
	\$5	\$10	D 100	
	(9,748) %	(2,805) %	Diff. %	
Presence of Children in H	, .	70	70	
Any children under age 6	17	15	- 2	
Any children age 6-11	21	22	+ 1	
Any children age 12-17	27	29	+ 2	sig.

BEHAVIORAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN RECIPIENTS OF \$5 AND \$10 INCENTIVES

- Of 66 behavioral and marketing variables analyzed, differences between recipients of \$5 vs. \$10 incentives ranged from -3.1 to +2.8, and 45% were between -0.5 and + 0.5.
- Three of the differences were statistically significant (95% level). That is the expected number of differences based on conducting 66 significance tests ($66 \times 0.05 = 3$)

CABLE TV VIEWING DIFFERENCES BETWEEN RECIPIENTS OF \$5 AND \$10 INCENTIVES

- Of 47 cable TV network stations measured, viewing level differences between recipients of \$5 vs. \$10 incentives ranged from -1.2 to +2.2, and 55% were between -0.5 and + 0.5.
- Three of the differences were statistically significant (95% level). This compares to an expectation of two significant differences based on chance alone.

PUBLICATION READERSHIP DIFFERENCES BETWEEN RECIPIENTS OF \$5 AND \$10 INCENTIVES

- Of 109 publications measured, readership level differences (read 1+ issues) between recipients of 5 vs. 10 incentives ranged from -1.5 to +1.2, and 83% were between -0.5 and +0.5.
- Four differences were statistically significant (95% level). That is fewer than the expected number of differences based on 109 tests (109 X 0.05 = 5).

DOES VARYING THE MONETARY INCENTIVE CHANGE MAIL SURVEY RESULTS?

Answer: NO. While a higher-value incentive yields a higher response rate, it does not appear to change survey results.

At least not for the monetary amounts tested for the task required in this survey (completion of a 16-page questionnaire).

CAN VARYING THE MONETARY INCENTIVE CREATE OPPORTUNITIES FOR SAMPLE IMPROVEMENT?

APPROACH:

- Look at response levels among various demographic groups that can be identified before the mailing.
- Find one or more demographic groups that have been historically underrepresented and increase the level of higher-value incentives to that group.

MODIFIED APPROACH:

- If the incentive budget is fixed, find a demographic where one group has been historically underrepresented and where a second group within that same demographic has not shown a substantive differential response based on the value of the incentive.
- Eliminate the higher incentive level from the second group in order to increase the number of higher incentives to the underrepresented group.

\$75,000+ HHI RESPONSE LEVELS AMONG RECIPIENTS OF \$5 AND \$10 INCENTIVES

	Incen	tive		
	\$5 %	\$10 %	Diff. %	
Predicted Age of \$75,000+ HH	I Responder	s		
18 to 39 years	28	32	+ 4 🗲	Traditionally low response group
40 to 64 years	33	38	+ 5	
65 years or older	30	30	± 0 ←	No difference

Based on total deliverables to each age group

VARYING THE MONETARY INCENTIVE AMONG AGE GROUPINGS

- The 18-39 year old age group had historically been underrepresented in our unweighted sample.
- Among the 65 years or older age group, the \$75M+ response level did not vary with the value of the incentive (\$5 vs. \$10).
- In the 2001 Mendelsohn Affluent Survey, we took advantage of this history by shifting to the 18-39 year olds all the \$10 incentives that would have been given to the 65+ year olds.

RESULTS OF VARYING THE MONETARY INCENTIVE AMONG AGE GROUPINGS

		Affluent Survey		Diff. %
Base: Total Respondents		Year 2000 (12,650) %	Year 2001 (11,635) %	
Age of \$75,000+ HHI Responders				
	18 to 39 years	18	22	+ 4
	40 to 64 years	74	71	- 3
	65 years or older	8	7	- 1

CONCLUSIONS

- Using a \$10 incentive rather than a \$5 incentive in a mail survey will increase your response rate without changing the survey results.
- A higher monetary incentive can sometimes be used to selectively enhance response rates from traditionally under-responding groups.